Page 1 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium:		Soil and Fill on Sout	hern Parcels (and potentially	beyond the Southern Parcels)	
	Investigat Phase:		Phase 1B	Phase 2	
DQO Investigation Step Item:		n Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates	
1 <u>State</u> Prob					
,	scriptio	Insufficient soil quality data exist for OU2 in order to determine: - The nature and lateral and vertical extent of the fill material. - The nature and extent of contaminated soil.	- Insufficient soil quality data exist for OU2 in order to determine whether potential soil contamination is from the Site or from off-Site sources.	If soil or fill containing contaminants at concentrations greater than screening values and background reference conditions is found in Phases 1A and 1B for Southern Parcels, there may still be insufficient data to establish the presence or absence of direct contact, ingestion, and inhalation risks to receptors via soil and/or fill exposure pathways.	
ii) Pla tea		See note at bottom	,		

Page 2 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels)			
	Investigation	Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2	
DQO Step	Phase: Investigation Item:	Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates	

iii) Conceptua I model

Fill was placed in a portion of the Southern Parcels. The fill includes but may not be limited to CDD. The fill may contain contaminants.

OU2 soil may have site-related contaminants from wind-blown deposition, run-off, groundwater leaching and redepositing of contamination.

- Contaminants in soil may pose a risk to receptors via the direct contact, inhalation and ingestion pathways. Cover material at the Site is limited or non-existent, which could lead to erosional run-off of contaminants towards the Quarry Pond
- Infiltrating precipitation can cause contaminants in soil and fill to migrate downwards, ultimately impacting groundwater.
- Groundwater migrating from OU1 could deposit contaminants in the soil and/or fill of OU2.

iv) General intended use for data

The soil and fill data collected will be compared to USEPA Residential and Industrial Soil Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) to identify direct contact/ingestion/inhalation risks associated with soil and fill in OU2. The data collected will ultimately be used in the Remedial Investigation Report and Baseline Risk Assessment for OU2.

The data collected from sampling locations in the Southern Parcels will be compared to background conditions, to determine if there are measurable levels of Site-related contaminants. The data collected will ultimately be used in the Baseline Risk Assessment for OU2.

The collected data will be used to generate exposure estimates for an assessment of direct contact/ingestion/inhalation risks and risks to ecological receptors. The data collected will ultimately be used in the Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment and Ecological Risk Assessment for OU2.

Page 3 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:		Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels)				
Investig		ntion Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2			
DQO Step	Phase: Investiga Item:	tion	n Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates		
v) Resources, constraints, deadlines			cient resources will be committed to soling may be postponed due to flooding		arcels under the OU2 RI/FS work plan.		

2 <u>Goals of the</u> <u>Study:</u>

i) Primary study question

Do soil and fill samples from the Southern Parcels contain contaminants at concentrations greater than industrial or residential soil RSLs?

Are contaminant concentrations due to Site activities or locally occurring background concentrations?

Does soil or fill in OU2 contain Site-related contaminants that pose unacceptable human health risks or unacceptable risks to ecological receptors?

Page 4 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels)				
	Investigation Phase:	Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2		
DQO Step	Investigation Item:	Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates		
	ii) Alternate outcomes or actions	- If sampling demonstrates that contaminant concentrations in soil and fill are less than RSLs, no further sampling or remedial action is planned If sampling demonstrates that contaminant concentrations in soils or fill are greater than screening levels/criteria, further evaluation is needed to determine if the contamination is site-related, and is a risk to human health and the environment, and/or remedial measures.	- If sampling demonstrates that contaminant concentrations in OU2 are not greater than those found in background reference soils, no further sampling is planned.	- If sampling demonstrates that human health and ecological risks from all combined exposure pathways are acceptable, no further action is required If sampling demonstrates unacceptable human health or ecological risks, further evaluation, risk management and/or remediation would be required.		
	iii) Type of problem (decision or estimation) ¹	Decision (Action Level)	Decision (Action Level)	Estimation		

Page 5 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Sou		beyond the Southern Parcels)
	Investigation Phase:	Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2
DQO Step	Investigation Item:	Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates
	iv.a) Decision statement	Determine whether any Siterelated contaminant concentrations in soil and fill are greater than USEPA Industrial or Residential soil RSLs in OU2.	Determine whether any measurable levels of Siterelated contaminants, relative to background reference conditions, occur in soil and fill in OU2.	Determine where contaminant concentrations require further consideration or response action, and where no further investigation is necessary.
	iv.b) Estimation statement & assumptions			The parameter of interest is the mean (for estimating direct contact/ingestion/inhalation risks) of soil/fill contaminant concentrations within identified exposure areas in OU2. Each exposure area will be 5 acres. The statistical measure of interest is the 95% UCL of the mean for each exposure unit. The size and location of each exposure unit should be identified based on property ownership boundaries and current and reasonably foreseeable activities and land uses.

Page 6 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium:		Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels)				
	Investigation Phase:	Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2		
DQO Step	Investigation Item:	Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates		
<u>In</u> :	entify formatio Inputs:					
i)	Information pes needed	-Identification and chemical analysis of - Contaminant concentrations in soil in -Background soil contaminant concent - Soil samples will be collected on a ra grid) from each exposure area Soil samples will also be collected at suspected soil contaminationExposure areas, determined by currer activities land uses, exposure routes, a boundaries.	OU2. rations. ndom basis (random oriented data gap locations or areas of nt and reasonably foreseeable	- Supplemental analyses of soil samples obtained to fill in significant data gaps across the exposure areaExposure routes and receptors -Toxicological information on the contaminants of concern.		
•	Information ources	 Existing soil/fill data New results from all soil and fill samp data on background conditions. Conceptual site model. 	les collected from OU2, and	- New soil/fill data from the Phase 2 investigation - Available validated previous data (e.g., from Phase 1), within the exposure area.		

Page 7 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:	Soil and Fill on South	ern Parcels (and potentially l	beyond the Southern Parcels)	
	Investigation Phase:		Phase 1B	Phase 2 Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates	
DQO Step	Investigation Item:	Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions		
iii) Basis of Action Level		Action Levels are: - USEPA Industrial and Residential Soil RSLs - USEPA ESLs The data collected will be compared against USEPA Residential and Industrial Soil RSLs to identify risks associated with soil samples from OU2.			
iv) Appropriate sampling & analysis methods		Methods are described in the Field Sa (CRA, September 2008).	mpling Plan (CRA, January 20	11) and the Quality Assurance Project Plan	
	efine the				

Boundaries
of the
Study:

Page 8 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	_		Soil and Fill on South	d Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels)		
			Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2 Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates Target population is soil and fill exceeding screening levels and comprising the exposure units for assessment of exposure risks for human receptors.	
DQO Step			Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions		
i) Target population, sample units		and s Parce individe The in backs subsu		The sampling units are individual samples collected from the soil off-Site (beyond the Southern Parcels).		
ii) Specify spatial boundaries		properties that have similar soil conditions. The spatial boundaries are the limits of site-related soil and fill contamination. Surficial soil is to a maximum depth of 2 ft bgs for human health risk purposes, and 3 ft bgs for ecological risk. The spatial boundaries of the sub-surface soil samples for screening human health risks will be to a depth of 15 ft bgs, i.e., the maximum soil depth construction workers would be expected to encounter. There is no predetermined		Background reference surface and subsurface sampling locations will be identified in areas outside a reasonable zone of potential influence (via surface runoff or substantial airborne dust deposition) for the Site. Distance from the Site and prevailing wind directions will be considered in making this determination.	OU2, which is everywhere that environmental media have been impacted by Site contaminants outside of OU1. Surficial soil is to a maximum depth of 2 ft bgs for human health risk purposes, and 3 ft bgs for ecological risk. The spatial boundaries of the su surface soil samples for screening	

Page 9 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION **OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN** SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium: Investigation Phase: DQO Investigation Step Item:		Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels)			
			Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2	
			Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates	
	iii) Specify temporal		t and magnitude of contamination. the groundwater DQO in Table 3.2, ional unsaturated soil samples will ellected at depths greater than 15 ft io investigate potential leaching its to groundwater.] Boreholes will dvanced a minimum of 5 ft into elematerial or until refusal, whichever countered first. Emporal boundaries are indefinite, a ical temporal limits are based on the	ssuming continued exposure at le		
ar pr) Identify ny other ractical onstraints	samp the p Parce on the Safet adjact consi	ical constraints anticipated for bling of OU2 soil and fill include resence of cars on the Jim City els and buildings and equipment e Ron Barnett Parcels. y issues associated with sampling ent to surface water will also be dered for sampling activities on tuarry Pond Parcels.	If different surficial soil substrates are encountered (e.g., silt vs. sand vs. clay), these differences may require additional sampling (e.g., further reference samples) to appropriately evaluate potential Site-related impacts. Off-Site sampling may be restricted by permission of property owners,	Practical constraints anticipated for sampling of Southern Parcels soil include the presence of cars on the Jim City Parcels and buildings and equipment on the Ron Barnett Parcels. Off-Site sampling, if required for delineation purposes, may be restricted by permission of property owners.	

CRA 035443 (19)

Page 10 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

	Medium:		Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels)				
	Investiga Phase:		Phase 1A	Phase 1B	Phase 2		
DQO Step	Investiga Item:	tion	Comparison to Residential and Industrial Soil Criteria	Comparison to Background Reference Conditions	Additional sampling (if necessary) to develop risk assessment exposure estimates		
of inf foi de	a) Scale Ference cision		parisons to Action Levels will be d out on an individual-location	and availability of suitable locations for background locations. Comparisons to background reference conditions will be carried out on an individual-location basis.			
v.k of	o) Scale timates				The scale of the exposure estimate is to be identified in a Site-specific risk assessment.		

Notes:

- (1) If investigating a "decision problem", follow items ending in ".a" in subsequent DQO steps (e.g., "ii.a" or "iii.a").
 - If investigating an "estimation problem", follow ".b" items.
 - Once the baseline risk assessment for OU2 has been performed, possible remedial goals (PRGs) will be derived from the calculator using site-specific risks.
- -- Item not applicable for the type of problem (decision vs. estimation) investigated.

Page 11 of 12

TABLE 3.1

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES (DQO) PROCESS - SOUTHERN PARCELS FILL INVESTIGATION OU2 RI/FS WORK PLAN SOUTH DAYTON DUMP AND LANDFILL SITE MORAINE, OHIO

Medium: Soil and Fill on Southern Parcels (and potentially beyond the Southern Parcels) Investigation Phase 1A Phase 1B Phase 2 Phase: DQO Investigation Comparison to Residential and Comparison to Additional sampling (if necessary) to Step Industrial Soil Criteria Background Reference develop risk assessment exposure Item: **Conditions** estimates

The planning team includes: Steve Quigley (CRA Project Director); Adam Loney (CRA project manager);

Wesley Dyck, Daniela Araujo (CRA statistics expert);

April Gowing, Steve Harris, Vincent Nero and Dan Smith (CRA risk assessment experts);

Paul Wiseman, Rawa Fleisher, Angela Bown (CRA chemists/quality assurance staff);

Julian Hayward, Valerie Chan (CRA project engineers); Mark Hilverda (CRA project hydrogeologist);

Leslie Patterson (USEPA Regional Project Manager); Mark Allen (Ohio EPA representative); and property owner stakeholders.